

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/749,306	12/27/2000	Tsutomu Sawada	8279.297US01	8279.297US01 5426	
20987	7590 12/20/2004		EXAMINER		
	E FRANCOS, & WHI	MAGEE, CHRISTOPHER R			
ONE FREEDOM SQUARE 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE SUITE 1260			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, V			2653		

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/749,306	SAWADA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Christopher R. Magee	2653	
The MAILING DATE of this com	munication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2004 Therefore, further action by the applicant final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may of condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	is required to avoinly be either: (1 Notice of Appea	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper repl th places the applica	y to a ation in
<u> </u>	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months to the period for reply expires on: (1) the no event, however, will the statutory pe ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 fee have been filed is the date for purposes of dete fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term as	mailing date of this a riod for reply expire FIRST REPLY WAS CFR 1.136(a). The ermining the period of the expiration date of received by the Offi	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF Total and which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the ma	ng date of the final rejecting the FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriation in the final to the final the fina	on. See MPEP ropriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will r	not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) Ithey raise new issues that wo	uld require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new m	•		,	
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place issues for appeal; and/or	•	•	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claim	s without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE: See Continuation Shee	<u>et</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the	e following rejec	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim canceling the non-allowable claim		be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or application in condition for allowar			idered but does NO	T place the
6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be raised by the Examiner in the fina		ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the propo explanation of how the new or am				and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or wil	l be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to: 3 and 8.				
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-7,9 and 10				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consider	ation:			
8. The drawing correction filed on	is a)⊟ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disc	closure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \)
10. Other:		T.	TILLIAM KORZUCH USOBY PATENT EXAM	AINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

12/13/2004

Part of Paper No. 20041213

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The addition: "is exposed to an outside of the casing body" to claims 1 and 6 raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search..